# INTERANNUAL VARIABILITY OF THE GULF OF MEXICO LOOP CURRENT AND EDDIES FROM MODELS AND SATELLITE OBSERVATIONS

E. Chassignet<sup>1</sup>, D. Dukhovskoy<sup>1</sup>, R. Nedbor-Gross<sup>1</sup>, S. Morey<sup>1</sup>, C. Hall<sup>2</sup>, and R. Leben<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Florida State University – COAPS <sup>2</sup>U. of Colorado - CCAR

Dee

Acknowledgements: O. M. Smedstad (Planning System Inc.), J. Metzger and A. Wallcraft (NRL SSC)



### LOOP CURRENT VARIABILITY FROM OBSERVATIONS



#### Issues

- 1. Only ~20 years of reliable satellite observations
- 2. Is the spatial and temporal satellite coverage sufficient for an accurate tracking of the Loop Current and eddies?
- 3. Can we quantify the errors in interpolation techniques used in the generation of SSH gridded products (CCAR, AVISO)?
- 4. How robust are the methods used to identify and track Loop Current eddies?

T/P and Jason 1 ground tracks in the Gulf of Mexico



### MULTI-DECADAL HYCOM SIMULATION OF THE GULF OF MEXICO (1/25°)

Speed/currents layer 1 mean: 2012\_02 [31.0H]



Atmospheric forcing: CFSR 1hr fields, 1992-2009

- 2-m air temperature
- specific humidity
- radiative fluxes (net sh/wave net longwave)
- penetrating shortwave
- wind stress
- wind speed

Lateral OB forcing: monthly mean fields from a near-real time nowcast/forecast 1/12° North Atlantic HYCOM, 2000-2003

# Model Initialization:Levitus climatologySpin-up:2 cycles of 2002-2005 with CFSR forcing54-year run:3 cycles with 1992-2009 CFSR



#### OCCURRENCE PROBABILITY MAP OF LOOP CURRENT PENETRATION FROM THE 54-YEAR HYCOM SIMULATION



### **LOOP CURRENT METRICS**

- <u>Shedding Event</u>: when an eddy detaches from the Loop Current and does not reattach
- <u>Separation Period</u>: Time in between two shedding events.

HYCOM (54-year simulation) has 63 shedding events with a mean separation period of 10 months

The 18-year altimetry data set has 26 shedding events and a mean separation period of 7.5 months



### LOOP CURRENT EDDY SHEDDING FROM THE 54-YEAR HYCOM SIMULATION AND ALTIMETER RECORDS

#### HYCOM



#### Altimeter Records





# LOOP CURRENT EDDY SHEDDING

- Altimetry separations
- 18 years (1993 2010)
- 27 total
- mean separation period: 7.5 months (9.4 mo if infrared observations are included (Leben, 2005))
- HYCOM separations
- 54 years
- 63 total
- mean separation period: 10 months (9.4 months if the 4year non-shedding event is discarded)
- Satellite (includes infrared obs.) separations (Vukovich, 2007)
  - 32 years
  - 35 total
  - mean separation period: 11 months





Vukovich (2011): "<...> observations obtained in the last decade (2001–2010) indicated that changes in the Loop Current's eddy-shedding cycle have taken place." Average shedding period before 2001 was ~11 months, in 2001-2009 it was



### Maximum Northern Latitude and Western Longitude of the Loop Current Front

#### HYCOM

#### **Altimeter Records**







### IDENTIFICATION AND TRACKING OF LOOP CURRENT EDDIESS IN SSH FIELDS

HYCOM-GOMI0.04; SSH 1956-05-30, YR-day 151; #1



#### TRAJECTORIES OF LOOP CURRENT EDDIES FROM THE GOM 0.04







### SSH Fields from GOMI0.04 on the Same Forcing Date (06/14/1995) but Different 18-year Cycles

The Loop Current behavior does not show any dependence on the atmospheric forcing



# HYCOM HIGH RESOLUTION VERSUS HYCOM 4-SATELLITE SUBSAMPLING (TOPEX, TOPEX2, GEOSAT, & ENVISAT)



## NORTHERN LATITUDE ANNUAL 3-MONTH BOXCAR SMOOTHED – ALL DATA





# SEPARATION PERIODS MONTHLY BINNING – ALL DATA





## SEPARATION PERIOD VS. LATITUDE RETREAT - ALL DATA



CONSORTIUM

Dee

### CONCLUSIONS

- 20-year satellite observation period may not be enough to fully sample the Loop Current behavior
- Subsampling
  - Decrease in resolution caused loss of northern latitude of about 0.15° → 4-satellite line has same shape as HYCOM high resolution line, only translated south
  - Subsampling caused noticeable change in regression slope

