Evaluation of HYCOM Performance on the West Florida Shelf

George Halliwell MPO/RSMAS, University of Miami

Primary Collaborators:

Robert Weisberg, Alexander Barth, Patrick Hogan, Ole Martin Smedstad, James Cummings

Goals

- Document sensitivity of nested HYCOM West Florida Shelf simulations to initial and boundary conditions provided by GODAE products
 - HYCOM to HYCOM nesting
 - Initially compare three products
 - Free-running Gulf of Mexico model (baseline)
 - 0.08° Atlantic OI hindcast
 - NCODA Gulf of Mexico hindcast
- Evaluate HYCOM performance as a coastal ocean model
 - Ongoing model improvement effort
 - Tidal forcing
 - ROMS pressure gradient formulation
 - Wetting-drying
 - Bottom boundary layer parameterizations

WFS Simulations

- Performed on USF curvilinear WFS grid
 - Facilitate future model-model comparisons
- Changes from outer model (value added)
 - 6 additional layers at the top
 - Enables sigma coordinates to resolve both the surface and bottom boundary layers out to the shelfbreak
 - COAMPS (27km) atmospheric forcing
- Run for 2004-2005
 - Fields archived every 6 hr for analysis
- Three experiments
 - Free-running IC/BC
 - HYCOM-NCODA IC/BC
 - Atlantic OI IC/BC

WFS Grid

University of South Florida curvilinear grid and bathymetry (122 x 82 mesh)

One nesting boundary Layer, 11 grid points wide



Stations



- Problem with Atlantic OI nesting
- Impact of distance to the nesting boundary
- Sensitivity of WFS currents to outer model choice
 Free-running model vs. NCODA assimilation
- Impact of pressure gradient formulation
 - ROMS vs. original Montgomery potential

Mooring C12













- Problem with Atlantic OI nesting
- Impact of distance to the nesting boundary
- Sensitivity of WFS currents to outer model choice
 Free-running model vs. NCODA assimilation
- Impact of pressure gradient formulation
 - ROMS vs. original Montgomery potential

Vector surface velocity correlation, 2004-2005

Outer model fields, freerunning vs. NCODA

Nested simulation fields, freerunning vs. NCODA

Correlation Magnitude





Correlation Phase





Vector surface velocity correlation, 2004-2005

NCODA case outer model vs. simulation

Correlation Magnitude



Correlation Phase



- Problem with Atlantic OI nesting
- Impact of distance to the nesting boundary
- Sensitivity of WFS currents to outer model choice
 Free-running model vs. NCODA assimilation
- Impact of pressure gradient formulation
 - ROMS vs. original Montgomery potential

Winter 2005 Correlation Magnitude



C10, Winter 04

Vector correlation >0.8





Feb04

0

Mar04

0.2

0.1

C12, Winter 04

Vector correlation ~0.6







C18, Winter 04

Free

Vector correlation ~0





- Problem with Atlantic OI nesting
- Impact of distance to the nesting boundary
- Sensitivity of WFS currents to outer model choice
 Free-running model vs. NCODA assimilation
- Impact of pressure gradient formulation
 ROMS vs. original Montgomery potential

Mean Flow, MONTG PG



Mean Flow Difference, MONTG vs ROMS PG



Plans

• WFS

- Ongoing HYCOM evaluation
- Scientific studies in collaboration with USF group
- Directly compare HYCOM to ROMS
- Test new version of vertical grid generator
- Evaluate ROMS PG formulation using seamount problem
- Hurricane response studies (with N. Shay, C. Lozano)
- Other collaborative projects
 - Interannual Atlantic Ocean climate (with Z. Garraffo, E. Chassignet, A. Bozec, S. Lozier)
 - SoFLA analysis (with V. Kourafalou)
 - OSSE development effort (with V. Kourafalou)
 - "Wide" Caribbean domain (western tropical Atlantic, with V. Kourafalou, Z. Garraffo, and many others)