# Upper-ocean response to Hurricane Ivan in a 1/25° nested Gulf of Mexico HYCOM<sup>#</sup>

Prasad Thoppil<sup>1,2</sup> & Patrick Hogan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Southern Mississippi <sup>2</sup>Naval Research Laboratory Stennis Space Center, MS 39529

HYCOM NOPP GODAE meeting (Nov. 7-9, 2006) COAPS, Florida State University

<sup>#</sup>Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans, In press

### Ocean's Response to a hurricane

### Two stages [Price et al. 1994]

- Forced stage: SST cooling, mixed-layer currents, surface heat fluxes mainly latent heat loss, geostrophic currents and associated sea level changes
- Relaxation stage: Inertial-gravity oscillations excited by the storm.

## Objectives

- 1. To assess the model's ability to reproduce the observed behavior of the oceanic response to hurricane Ivan *with* and *without* data-assimilation
- To quantify the physical processes controlling the upper-ocean cooling during lvan's passage

## Outline

- Model description
  - Initial and boundary conditions
  - Data assimilation
  - Model experiments
- Results
  - Model-data comparison
  - Upper-ocean response to Ivan
  - Heat-budget
  - Sensitivity to initial conditions
- Conclusions

## **Model Description**

Model: HYCOM **Domain**: Gulf of Mexico (north of 18.1°N, west of 77.4°W) Horizontal resolution: 1/25° (~4 km) Vertical: 20 hybrid layers Vertical mixing scheme: GISS level 2 [NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies, Canuto et al. 2001, 2002] Surface forcing: 1° NOGAPS [wind-speed, wind-stress, air-temperature, humidity, precipitation, surface shortwave and long-wave heat fluxes] Heat flux exchange coefficients: Kara et al. [2002] formulation, latent, sensible heat fluxes are calculated using model SST

### Boundary Conditions (BCs)

- 1/12° (~8 km) North Atlantic data-assimilative HYCOM system provides BCs [Chassignet et al. 2005]
- BCs are updated every day
- 1-10 days e-folding relaxation time
- 20 grid-points wide relaxation zone



Ivan: 14-16 Sept 2004 in GoM Category 5 on 12 Sept

maximum SST cooling occurred outside the **WCE** and **LC** regions

WCE -> Warm Core Eddy LC -> Loop Current 2°x2° box 88°-86°W, 24°-26°N

## **Model Experiments**

Experiments	Model simulation	Period
NAS	Non-assimilation	Jan-Dec 2004
AS	Assimilation	Jan-Dec 2004

## **Data Assimilation**

- Assimilating daily operational Modular Ocean Data Assimilation System (MODAS) 1/4° SSH real-time altimeter observations
- Cooper and Haines [1996] technique is used to project the surface information to the interior of the ocean.
- Relaxation to the MODAS SST is not included

#### A comparison of zonal, meridional vel. and SSH

 simulation with data-assimilation showed improved agreement with observations

 WCE separation in
NAS occurred in April instead of
August as in AS which is consistent with observations



#### A comparison with SEED ADCP data



14 acoustic Doppler current profilers (ADCPs) were deployed on the shelf and down slope, as part of the NRL Slope to Shelf Energetics and Exchange Dynamics (SEED) project

Ivan passed directly through the array

currents in excess of 2 m/s were measured on the shelf

#### A comparison with SEED ADCP data (outer shelf)



#### A comparison with SEED ADCP data (continental slope)



### Simulated SST, MLD and SHF



 pre-storm MLD of 10 m increased to 45 m during the storm and SST decreased from 28.5°C to 25°C in NAS

colder SST, shallower
MLD and weaker
surface heat loss in
AS

post-storm warming due to surface heat gain by the ocean



#### surface currents and depth of 20°C isotherm



### vertical temp. diffusion coefft. $ln(K_T)$



- maximum vertical mixing occurred to the right of the storm
- lack of rightward bias in SST cooling was due to the underlying thermal structure of the water column
  vertical mixing occurred
- Vertical mixing occurred simultaneously with upwelling – shallow MLD and enhanced SST cooling
  vertical velocity of the assimilative run predicted slightly higher values

#### vertical temperature gradient and MLD



 AS – thin pre-storm ML and strong upper-thermocline temperature gradient enhanced upperocean cooling

 NAS – weak vertical temperature gradient resulted in less SST cooling

Box average 88°-86°W, 24°-26°N

What are the physical processes affecting the upper-ocean cooling?

## Heat-budget analysis

Heat-budget terms can be written as  $Q_T = -Q_{U+V} - Q_W + Q_S + Q_{DV} + Q_{DH};$ 

#### heat-budget terms averaged for 2°x2° box (88°-86°W, 24°-26°N)



Date	September 15	
Expts	NAS	AS
Q <sub>T</sub> (W m <sup>-2</sup> )	-1421	-2870
Q <sub>S</sub> (%)	2.4	-8.9
Q <sub>DV</sub> (%)	73.6	69.8
Q <sub>U+V</sub> (%)	16.1	16.0
Q <sub>w</sub> (%)	8.0	23.2

surface heat-flux term was small
wind-driven mixing dominated the cooling
same horizontal advective cooling
~3 times vertical advective cooling in AS

#### heat-budget terms at 50 m and 100 m (88°-86°W, 24°-26°N)



## Sensitivity to initial conditions



## Conclusions

- A comparison of simulated zonal and meridional velocities using data assimilation showed improved agreement with ADCP observations
- Model simulated amplitude of the cold wake (-6°C) compared reasonably well with the observed changes in SST
- While the simulated location of WCE and LC in the assimilation run showed better agreement with satellite altimetry, the storm-induced SST cooling was 40-50% greater than the observed cooling
- Overall, 72% of the upper-ocean cooling was due to wind-driven vertical mixing
- There was a three-fold increase in the vertical advective cooling in the assimilative run
- Surface heat-flux contribution to the mixed-layer heat budget was only ~4%.

#### Nested (~1 km) model for the Persian **Gulf region**

48E



northward intrusion of low-salinity water during summer

58E

41. 40.5 39.5 30N 38.5 38.5 38.5 38.5

28N

26N

24N

#### Acknowledgements

Office of Naval Research, NRL Slope to Shelf Energetics and Exchange Dynamics (SEED) project, IBM-SP4 workstations at the NAVO.

Alan Wallcraft (NRL), Ole Martin Smedstad (NRL/PSI), Sang-ki Lee (RSMAS/CIMAS)

.... thank you